

Treasury Management Strategy 2023/24

January 2023



Contents

1 Introduction	4
2 Capital Expenditure and Prudential Indicators	5
3 Local Context	8
4 Economic and Interest Rate Forecast	10
5 Borrowing Strategy	11
6 Investment Strategy	14
7 Treasury Management Indicators	15
8 Treasury Management Consultants	17
9 Reporting Arrangements and Management Evaluation	18
10 Other Matters	19
Soft Loans	19
Anti-Money Laundering	19
IFRS 16 Lease Accounting	19
Appendix 1 Policy on Minimum Revenue Provision for 2023/24	20
<u>Appendix 2 Economic Commentary</u>	22
Appendix 3 Creditworthiness Policy and Investment Limits	24
Appendix 4 Non-Treasury Investments	
Appendix 5 Non-Treasury Investments	

This document can be made available in other languages and formats.
For more information please contact financial.services@torbay.gov.uk

1 Introduction

Treasury management is the management of the Council's cash flows, borrowing and investments, and the associated risks. The Council borrows and invests substantial sums of money and is therefore exposed to financial risks including the loss of invested funds and the revenue effect of changing interest rates. The successful identification, monitoring and control of financial risk are therefore central to the Council's prudent financial management.

Treasury risk management at the Council is conducted within the framework of the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice 2021 Edition (the CIPFA Code) which requires full Council to approve a treasury management strategy before the start of each financial year. This report fulfils the Council's legal obligation under the Local Government Act 2003 to have regard to the CIPFA Code and the strategy also has regard to guidance within the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities 2021 Edition.

The Strategy for 2023/24 covers:

- Capital expenditure and prudential indicators
- the minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy;
- the investment strategy;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council
- policy on use of external service providers;
- reporting arrangements and management evaluation
- other matters

2 Capital Expenditure and Prudential Indicators

The Council's capital expenditure plans are a key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in the prudential indicators below, which are designed to assist Members' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

Capital Expenditure and Financing

Capital expenditure is where the Council spends money on assets, such as property or vehicles, which will be used for more than one year. In local government this includes spending on assets owned by other bodies, and loans and grants to other bodies enabling them to buy assets.

In 2023/24, the Council is planning capital expenditure of £110m as summarised below (figures based on capital plan estimates as at December 2022 and prior to completion of the quarter 3 22/23 capital monitoring update). However, significant revision of these numbers cannot be ruled out given pressures on scheme budgets and their impact on spend profiles.

Table 1: Prudential Indicator: Estimates of Capital Expenditure in £ millions

£M	2021/22 actual	2022/23 forecast	2023/24 budget	2024/25 budget	2025/26 budget
General Fund services	27	39	110	130	1

All capital expenditure must be financed, either from external sources (government grants and other contributions), the Council's own resources (revenue, reserves and capital receipts) or debt (borrowing, leasing and Private Finance Initiative). The planned financing of the above expenditure is as follows:

Table 2: Capital financing in £ millions

£m	2021/22 actual	2022/23 forecast	2023/24 budget	2024/25 budget	2025/26 budget
External sources	15	20	60	6	0
Own resources	2	1	2	6	0
Debt	10	18	48	118	1
TOTAL	27	39	110	130	1

Debt is only a temporary source of finance, since loans and leases must be repaid, and this is therefore replaced over time by other financing, usually from revenue which is known as minimum

revenue provision (MRP). Alternatively, proceeds from selling capital assets (known as capital receipts) may be used to replace debt finance. Planned MRP is set out in Table 3:

Table 3: MRP for financing debt on maturity in £ millions

	2021/22 actual	2022/23 forecast	2023/24 budget	2024/25 estimate	2025/26 estimate
MRP	8	8	8	10	14

The Council's full policy on Minimum Revenue Provision is set out at Appendix 1

Affordable borrowing limit: The Council is legally obliged to set an affordable borrowing limit (also termed the authorised limit for external debt) each year. In line with statutory guidance, a lower "operational boundary" is also set as a warning level should debt approach the limit.

Table 4: Prudential Indicators: Authorised limit and operational boundary for external debt in £m

	2022/23 limit	2023/24 limit	2024/25 limit	2025/26 limit
Authorised limit – borrowing	620	600	600	600
Authorised limit – PFI and leases	20	20	20	20
Authorised limit – total external debt	640	620	620	620
Operational boundary – borrowing	530	500	580	580
Operational boundary – PFI and leases	20	20	20	20
Operational boundary – total external debt	550	520	600	600

Revenue Budget Implications

Although capital expenditure is not charged directly to the revenue budget, interest payable on loans and MRP are charged to revenue, offset by any investment income receivable. The net annual charge is known as financing costs; this is compared to the net revenue stream i.e. the amount funded from Council Tax, business rates and general government grants.

Table 5: Prudential Indicator: Proportion of financing costs to net revenue stream

	2021/22 actual	2022/23 forecast	2023/24 budget	2024/25 estimate	2025/26 estimate
Net Revenue Stream	£116m	£120m	£131m	£135m	£140m
Financing costs (£m)	£18m	£19m	£20m	£21m	£21m
Proportion of net revenue stream	16%	16%	15%	16%	15%
<i>Financing costs excludes income from Investment Property portfolio which is included within the Net Revenue Stream.</i>	£(13)m	£(13)m	£(13)m	£(13)m	£(13)m
<i>Percentage of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream including Investment Property Gross Rental Income</i>	4%	5%	5%	6%	6%

3 Local Context

On 30th November 2022 the Council held £386m of borrowing and £113m of treasury investments. Forecast changes in these sums are shown in the balance sheet analysis in table 6 below.

Table 6: Balance sheet summary and forecast

	31.3.22	31.3.23	31.3.24	31.3.25	31.3.26
	Actual	Estimate	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Capital Financing Requirement	422	432	471	580	567
Less: Other debt liabilities *	(16)	(15)	(14)	(13)	(12)
Loans CFR	406	417	457	567	555
Less: External borrowing **	(389)	(385)	(378)	(374)	(368)
Internal borrowing	17	32	79	193	187
Less: Usable reserves	(75)	(60)	(55)	(50)	(45)
Less: Other Balance Sheet items/Working capital	(58)	(30)	(30)	(30)	(30)
(Treasury investments)/New borrowing	(116)	(58)	(6)	113	112

* PFI liabilities that form part of the Council's total debt

** shows only loans to which the Council is committed and excludes optional refinancing

The underlying need to borrow for capital purposes is measured by the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), while balance sheet resources are the underlying sums available for investment. The Council's current strategy is to apply its cash resources in place of external borrowing in the short term, i.e. internal borrowing.

The Council has an increasing CFR due to the capital programme and therefore could borrow a maximum of £187m over the forecast period (the internal borrowing total). If the council's cash backed resources of reserves and working capital are used in the short term to delay the need to borrow the minimum the council would need to borrow is £112m. (the new borrowing total). Care will need to be taken in the use of cash balances in the short term to fund long term borrowing.

It should be noted that these borrowing forecasts are based on the current approved capital plan. The actual level of borrowing required will depend on three key issues:

- the deliverability and affordability of the current plan in respect of both increased borrowing and construction costs.
- the allocation of the approved totals (approx. £100m) for regeneration and housing to specific schemes
- any new schemes funded from borrowing approved by Council

CIPFA's *Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities* recommends that the Council's total debt should be lower than its highest forecast CFR over the next three years. Table 6 shows that the Council expects to comply with this recommendation during 2032/24.

Liability benchmark: To compare the Council's actual borrowing against an alternative strategy, The updated Code requires a "liability benchmark" to be calculated showing the lowest risk level of borrowing. This assumes the same forecasts as table 1 above, but that cash and investment balances are kept to a minimum level of £10m at each year-end to maintain sufficient liquidity but minimise credit risk.


The liability benchmark is an important tool to help establish whether the Council is likely to be a long-term borrower or long-term investor in the future, and so shape its strategic focus and decision making. The liability benchmark itself represents an estimate of the cumulative amount of external borrowing the Council must hold to fund its current capital and revenue plans while keeping treasury investments at the minimum level required to manage day-to-day cash flow.

However, care will need to be taken in the use of cash balances at a point in time to fund a long-term borrowing requirement as this could result in risks around higher debt costs when borrowing is required.

Table 7: Prudential Indicator - Liability benchmark

	31.3.22	31.3.23	31.3.24	31.3.25	31.3.26
	Actual	Estimate	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Loans CFR	406	417	457	567	555
Less: Usable reserves	(75)	(60)	(55)	(50)	(45)
Less: Other Balance Sheet items/Working capital	(58)	(30)	(30)	(30)	(30)
Plus: Minimum liquid investments	10	10	10	10	10
Liability Benchmark	283	337	382	497	490

The forecasts in table 7 are demonstrated in the chart below together with the maturity profile of the Authority's existing borrowing:



4 Economic and Interest Rate Forecast

The Council's advisors, Arlingclose Ltd has provided an economic commentary (updated for November 2022) available at Appendix 2 together with their interest rate forecasts for future years as set out in table 8 below:

Table 8: Arlingclose Ltd interest rates forecast

	Current	Dec-22	Mar-23	Jun-23	Sep-23	Dec-23	Mar-24	Jun-24	Sep-24	Dec-24	Mar-25	Jun-25	Sep-25
Official Bank Rate													
Upside risk	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.25	1.50	1.75	1.50	1.25	1.25
Arlingclose Central Case	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
Downside risk	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
3-month money market rate													
Upside risk	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.25	1.50	1.75	1.50	1.25	1.25
Arlingclose Central Case	3.00	3.90	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.35	4.30	4.25	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75
Downside risk	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
5yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.00	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Arlingclose Central Case	3.36	3.65	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.80	3.70	3.60	3.50	3.40	3.30	3.20
Downside risk	0.00	0.70	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
10yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.00	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Arlingclose Central Case	3.46	3.70	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.70
Downside risk	0.00	0.70	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
20yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.00	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Arlingclose Central Case	3.88	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.90
Downside risk	0.00	0.70	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
50yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.00	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Arlingclose Central Case	3.24	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.30	3.30	3.30	3.30	3.30	3.30	3.30
Downside risk	0.00	0.70	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Note: The Council will borrow at PWLB certainty rate which is the relevant gilt yield + 0.80%

- The MPC remains concerned about inflation but sees the path for Bank Rate to be below that priced into markets.
- Following the exceptional 75bp rise in November, Arlingclose believes the MPC will slow the rate of increase at the next few meetings. Arlingclose now expects Bank Rate to peak at 4.25%, with a further 50bp rise in December and smaller rises in 2023.
- The UK economy likely entered into recession in Q3, which will continue for some time. Once inflation has fallen from the peak, the MPC will cut Bank Rate.
- Arlingclose expects gilt yields to remain broadly steady despite the MPC's attempt to push down on interest rate expectations. Without a weakening in the inflation outlook, investors will price in higher inflation expectations given signs of a softer monetary policy stance.
- Gilt yields face pressures to both sides from hawkish US/EZ central bank policy on one hand to the weak global economic outlook on the other. BoE bond sales will maintain yields at a higher level than would otherwise be the case.

5 Borrowing Strategy

The Council currently holds £386 million of loans, a decrease of £3 million on the previous year, as part of its strategy for funding previous years' capital programmes. The balance sheet forecast in table 6 shows that the Council expects to borrow up a minimum of £112million (maximum £187m) over the next three years. The Council may also borrow additional sums to pre-fund future years' requirements, providing this does not exceed the authorised limit for borrowing of £600 million.

Objectives: The Council's chief objective when borrowing money is to strike an appropriately low risk balance between securing low interest costs and achieving certainty of those costs over the period for which funds are required. The flexibility to renegotiate loans should the Council's long-term plans change is a secondary objective.

Strategy: the Council's borrowing strategy continues to address the key issue of affordability without compromising the longer-term stability of the debt portfolio.

By doing so, the Council is able to reduce net borrowing costs and reduce overall treasury risk. The benefits of internal borrowing will be monitored regularly against the potential for incurring additional costs by deferring borrowing into future years when long-term borrowing rates are forecast to rise modestly. Assistance will be sought from Arlingclose Ltd with this 'cost of carry' and breakeven analysis; its output may determine whether the Council borrows additional sums at long-term fixed rates in 2023/24 with a view to keeping future interest costs low, even if this causes additional cost in the short-term.

For external borrowing requirements over and above internal borrowing capacity, the Council will look to the PWLB to secure long-term funding of projects. (The Council has previously raised the

majority of its long-term borrowing from the PWLB, however alternative options including the Infrastructure Bank will be considered). This approach may also be combined with short-term borrowing to augment the affordability criteria

PWLB loans are no longer available to local authorities planning to buy investment assets primarily for yield; the Council intends to avoid this activity in order to retain its access to PWLB loans. In addition to the HM Treasury rules in relation to PWLB loans, CIPFA has issued a statement that requires those principles be applied to all forms of borrowing.

The budget for payment of interest on debt for 2023/24 has been based on an assumed £386m of “historic” borrowing as at 31/03/23 with an overall borrowing rate of 2.98% (2.98% in 2022/23). A contingency for new borrowing assumes a rate of 4.50%. As the Council's current debt is on fixed rates with a flat maturity profile the council is not exposed to a refinancing risk of higher costs.

Sources of borrowing: The approved sources of long-term and short-term borrowing are:

- HM Treasury’s PWLB lending facility (formerly the Public Works Loan Board)
- any institution approved for investments (see below)
- any other bank or building society authorised to operate in the UK
- any other UK public sector body
- UK public and private sector pension funds (except Peninsula Pension Fund)
- capital market bond investors
- UK Municipal Bonds Agency plc and other special purpose companies created to enable local authority bond issues
- Municipal Investments using loans and bonds
- “Green” bonds (loans to Council)
- UK Infrastructure Bank

Other sources of debt finance: In addition, capital finance may be raised by the following methods that are not borrowing, but may be classed as other debt liabilities:

- leasing
- hire purchase
- Private Finance Initiative
- sale and leaseback

Municipal Bonds Agency: UK Municipal Bonds Agency plc was established in 2014 by the Local Government Association as an alternative to the PWLB. It issues bonds on the capital markets and lend the proceeds to local authorities. This is a more complicated source of finance than the PWLB for two reasons: borrowing authorities will be required to provide bond investors with a guarantee to refund their investment in the event that the agency is unable to for any reason; and there will be a lead time of several months between committing to borrow and knowing the interest rate payable. There has been very limited issue by the Agency to date and new issues

Market and Institutional Borrowing: These instruments can result in lower rates than PWLB and can be traded ahead of cash need but involve significant resource (and cost) to negotiate and market.

UK Infrastructure Bank: Set up in 2021 and wholly owned by HM Treasury, the Infrastructure Bank will lend to local authorities at 20 basis points below PWLB for infrastructure projects which align

with the government's Net Zero objectives or projects that encourage regional and local economic growth. Approvals will be subject to the Bank's confirmation that a local authority's business plan is sound and that it has demonstrated the ability to repay the loan.

The borrowing strategy will be highly influenced by volatility in the Capital Plan arising from inflationary and supply pressures. In view of this the PWLB is likely to remain the most favourable and cost-effective source of borrowing to ensure funding can be committed when certainty of spend is guaranteed.

Short-term and variable rate loans: These loans leave the Council exposed to the risk of short-term interest rate rises and are therefore subject to the interest rate exposure limits below in section 7 Treasury Management Indicators.

Debt rescheduling: The PWLB allows authorities to repay loans before maturity and either pay a premium or receive a discount according to a set formula based on current interest rates. Other lenders may also be prepared to negotiate premature redemption terms. The Chief Finance Officer may take advantage of this and replace some loans with new loans, or repay loans without replacement, where this is expected to lead to an overall cost saving or a reduction in risk. The recent rise in interest rates means that more favourable debt rescheduling opportunities should arise than in previous years. However, as the council has a forecast borrowing requirement the council is unlikely repay "cheaper" debt when it will need to refinance at a higher rate.

6 Investment Strategy

The Council holds significant invested funds, representing income received in advance of expenditure plus balances and reserves held. During the year the Council's investment balance has ranged from £110 million to around £132 million, and material levels are expected to be maintained in the forthcoming year.

Objectives: The CIPFA Code requires the Council to invest its funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its investments before seeking the highest rate of return, or yield. The Council's objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses from defaults and the risk of receiving unsuitably low investment income. Where balances are expected to be invested for more than one year, the Council will aim to achieve a total return that is equal or higher than the prevailing rate of inflation, in order to maintain the spending power of the sum invested. The Authority aims to be a responsible investor and will consider environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues when investing.

Strategy: As demonstrated by the liability benchmark above, the Authority expects to be a long-term borrower and new treasury investments will therefore be made primarily to manage day-to-day cash flows using short-term low risk instruments. The existing portfolio of strategic pooled funds will be maintained to diversify risk into different sectors and boost investment income.

The CIPFA Code does not permit local authorities to both borrow and invest long-term for cash flow management. But the Authority may make long-term investments for treasury risk management purposes, including to manage interest rate risk by investing sums borrowed in advance for the capital programme for up to three years; to manage inflation risk by investing usable reserves in instruments whose value rises with inflation; and to manage price risk by adding diversification to the strategic pooled fund portfolio.

The policy for counterparty selection and investment limits is presented at Appendix 3.

Non-Financial Investments Strategy

The MHCLG and CIPFA have extended the meaning of 'investments' to include both financial and non-financial investments.

The previous sections relate solely to treasury management "cash" investments and the current schedule of non-financial investments is detailed at Appendix 4. All decisions have followed appropriate risk management framework and strategy for non-financial investments approved by Council in February 2019.

Any involvement by the Council in community investment schemes such as Credit Unions and Mutual Banks would fall into this category and would not be managed within the treasury management policies.

Guidance within the Prudential Code states that, "councils with existing commercial investments are not required by this Code to sell these investments.....however councils that have an expected need to borrow should review options for exiting their financial investments for commercial purposes and summarise the review in their annual treasury management strategy."

These reviews should evaluate whether to take expected borrowing needs by taking new borrowing or repaying investments based on a financial appraisal that takes into account of financial implications and risk reduction benefits”

This strategy document indicates no requirement for new borrowing in 2023/24, however a review framework is set out in Appendix 5. The Council’s holding in a pooled property fund is not considered within this review as it forms part of a balanced cash investment portfolio.

The Council’s investment properties are diversified over several sectors and are budgeted in 2023/24 to produce a net surplus, after borrowing costs and reserve provision, of £4.1m. If any of these assets are sold, the council will have to

- fund the revenue shortfall resulting from no rent now being received.
- apply the capital receipt from the asset sale to another project to either avoid borrowing costs on that project or to reinvest in a project that generates an income stream to replace the revenue shortfall, subject to the new project not being primarily for yield.
- Or apply the capital receipt to repay borrowing subject to any early repayment penalties.
- apply the existing ongoing borrowing costs of the asset now sold to another project, where the income from the new project will be able to cover the borrowing costs
- all the above will need to be in pace ideally from date of sale to avoid any ongoing cost of carry of both the old debt and ongoing revenue shortfall.

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Investments

Environmental, social and governance (ESG) considerations are increasingly a factor in global investors’ decision making, but the framework for evaluating investment opportunities is still developing and therefore the Council’s ESG considerations do not currently include ESG scoring or other real-time ESG criteria at an individual investment level. Officers will continue to monitor and evaluate ESG investment opportunities, and these may be incorporated into future investment strategies subject to yield and security. Given the limited range of counterparties the Council can use for its investments and that borrowing is mainly from the Government there are limited opportunities to apply ESG principles in this Strategy.

7 Treasury Management Indicators

The Council measures and manages its exposures to treasury management risks using the following indicators.

Security: The Council has adopted a voluntary measure of its exposure to credit risk by monitoring the value-weighted average credit rating/credit score of its investment portfolio. The credit score is calculated by applying a value to each investment (AAA=1, AA+=2, A=6 etc.) and taking the arithmetic average, weighted by the size of each investment. Unrated investments are assigned a score based on their perceived risk.

Credit risk indicator	Target
Portfolio average credit rating (score)	A (6)

Liquidity: The Council has adopted a voluntary measure of its exposure to liquidity risk by monitoring the amount of cash available to meet unexpected payments within a rolling one-month period, without additional borrowing.

Liquidity risk indicator	Target
Total cash available within 1 months	£10m

Interest rate exposures: This indicator is set to control the Council’s exposure to interest rate risk. The Council’s debt portfolio is virtually all at fixed rate and therefore has no exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. As such no specific limits are proposed on interest rate exposure but any new borrowing will be restricted to a maximum 30% of the total portfolio exposed to variable interest rate.

Maturity structure of borrowing: This indicator is set to control the Council’s exposure to refinancing risk. The upper and lower limits on the maturity structure of borrowing will be:

Refinancing rate risk indicator	Upper limit	Lower limit
Under 12 months	40%	0%
12 months and within 24 months	40%	0%
24 months and within 5 years	30%	0%
5 years and within 10 years	40%	0%
10 years and within 20 years	50%	0%
20 years and within 30 years	50%	0%
30 years and within 40 years	60%	0%
40 years and above	50%	0%

Principal sums invested for periods longer than a year: The purpose of this indicator is to control the Council’s exposure to the risk of incurring losses by seeking early repayment of its investments. The limits on the long-term principal sum invested to final maturities beyond the period end will be:

Price risk indicator	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25
Limit on principal invested beyond year end	£20m	£20m	£20m

8 Treasury Management Consultants

Arlingclose Ltd was appointed as the Council's external treasury management advisors for three years from April 2020, following a full tender process.

The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions always remains with the organisation and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon the services of our external service providers. All decisions will be undertaken with regard to all available information including, but not solely, our treasury advisers.

9 Reporting Arrangements and Management Evaluation

Members will receive the following reports for 2023/24 as standard in line with the requirements of the Code of Practice:

- Annual Treasury Management Strategy
- Mid-Year Treasury Review report
- Annual Treasury Outturn report

The Chief Finance Officer will inform the Cabinet Member for Finance of any long-term borrowing or repayment undertaken or any significant events that may affect the Council's treasury management activities. The CFO will maintain a list of staff authorised to undertake treasury management transactions on behalf of the Council.

The Chief Finance Officer is authorised to approve any movement between borrowing and other long-term liabilities within the Authorised Limit (see Section 2). Any such change will be reported to the next meeting of the Council.

The impact of these policies will be reflected as part of the Council's revenue budget and therefore will be reported through the quarterly budget monitoring process.

The Council's management and evaluation arrangements for Treasury Management will be as follows:

- Monthly monitoring report to the Chief Finance Officer and lead Members.
- Quarterly meeting of the Treasury Manager/ Finance Manager / Chief Finance Officer to review previous quarter performance and plan following period activities
- Regular meetings with the Council's treasury advisors
- Investment benchmarking against other local authorities via Arlingclose Ltd
- The Audit Committee is the body responsible for scrutiny of Treasury Management.

The CIPFA Code requires the responsible officer to ensure that members with responsibility for treasury management receive adequate training in treasury management

The training needs of treasury management officers are periodically reviewed.

10 Other Matters

Soft Loans

Accounting for financial instruments require the recognition of soft loans i.e. where a loan is made at a lower than 'competitive' rate the cost implicit in achieving the lower rate must be reflected in the Council's accounts.

Anti-Money Laundering

The Council will comply with all relevant regulations.

IFRS 16 Lease Accounting

The Director of Finance will monitor any implications IRFS16 on leases, which became effective in the public sector from April 2024.

Appendix 1

Policy on Minimum Revenue Provision for 2023/24

The Minimum Revenue Provision is a statutory charge that the Council is required to make from its revenue budget. This provision enables the Council to generate cash resources for the repayment of borrowing.

The basis for the calculation of the provision is prescribed by legislation (Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2012 and supported by statutory guidance (last issued March 2018), which states that Councils are required to “determine for the current financial year an amount of MRP that it considers to be prudent” and prepare an annual statement on their MRP calculation to their full Council.

One of the aims of this legislation is to ensure that the repayment of principal owed for Capital expenditure is charged on a prudent basis. Central Government guidance says:

“the broad aim of prudent provision is to ensure that debt is repaid over a period that is either reasonably commensurate with that over which the Capital expenditure provides benefits”

For Supported Borrowing, (borrowing funded by central government), the Council will charge MRP at 2% of the balance as at 31 March after the deduction of the value of adjustment A (a set value in 2004), fixed at the same cash value as that of the whole debt is repaid after 50 years.

The Council will charge a VRP (voluntary revenue provision) for the supported borrowing within the adjustment A value that is outstanding as at 31 March relating to transferred debt from Devon County Council fixed at the same cash value as that of the whole debt is repaid after 50 years (which is similar to the supported borrowing calculation).

For capital expenditure funded from unsupported borrowing the Council will make a MRP based on the cumulative expenditure incurred on each asset (including investment fund properties) in the previous financial years using a prudent asset life, which reflects the estimated usable life of that asset. (See table on the following page.)

The MRP for each asset will be calculated on the asset life method using an annuity calculation. MRP will be calculated on the total expenditure on that asset, in the financial year after the asset becomes operational or 12 months after operational or when there is an income stream in relation to that asset.

The Council will continue to charge services for their use of unsupported borrowing using a prudent asset life (or a shorter period) on an annuity calculation. Where possible the same asset life and borrowing interest rate will be used for both the charge to services and the calculation of the MRP.

To mitigate any negative impact from the changes in accounting for leases and PFI schemes the Council will include in the annual MRP charge an amount equal to the amount that has been taken to the balance sheet to reduce the balance sheet liability for a PFI scheme or a finance lease. The calculation will be based on the annuity method using the Internal Rate of Return (IRR) implicit in the PFI or lease agreement.

Where loans are given for capital purposes, they come within the scope of the prudential controls established by the Local Government Act 2003 and the Local Authorities (Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2008.

The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) will increase by the amount of the loan. Once the funds are returned to the local authority, the returned funds are classed as a capital receipt with those receipts being earmarked specifically to that loan, and the CFR and loan will reduce accordingly. If the expectation is that funds will be repaid in full at some point in the future, there is no requirement to set aside prudent provision to repay the debt liability in the interim period, so there

is no MRP application. The position of each loan will be reviewed on an annual basis by Chief Finance Officer.

Where relevant, the suggested asset lives for certain types of capitalised expenditure as detailed in the MRP statutory guidance issued by DCLG will be used. The latest guidance issued in March 2018 suggests a maximum asset life of 50 years.

Each asset life will be considered in relation to the asset being constructed (primarily to ensure the MRP period does not exceed asset life); however, as a guide the following are typical ranges for asset lives that will be used.

Asset Type	Range of Asset Life
Freehold Land (specified in DCLG statutory guidance)	50 years
Buildings	20-40 years
Investment Properties	25-50 years
Software	5-10 years
Vehicles & Equipment	5-8 years
Highway Network	25-40 years
Structural Enhancements	10-25 years
Infrastructure	25-50 years

For capital expenditure where land and buildings are not separately identified a blended asset life can be used.

Note: DLUCH are expected to update the MRP statutory guidance during 2023/24, however the changes are unlikely to impact on the Council's MRP policy.

Appendix 2

Economic Commentary

(Provided by Arlingclose Ltd, January 2023)

Economic background: The ongoing impact on the UK from the war in Ukraine, together with higher inflation, higher interest rates, uncertain government policy, and a deteriorating economic outlook, will be major influences on the Authority's treasury management strategy for 2023/24.

The Bank of England (BoE) increased Bank Rate by 0.5% to 3.5% in December 2022. This followed a 0.75% rise in November which was the largest single rate hike since 1989 and the ninth successive rise since December 2021. The December decision was voted for by a 6-3 majority of the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC), with two dissenters voting for a no-change at 3% and one for a larger rise of 0.75%.

The November quarterly Monetary Policy Report (MPR) forecast a prolonged but shallow recession in the UK with CPI inflation remaining elevated at over 10% in the near-term. While the projected peak of inflation is lower than in the August report, due in part to the government's support package for household energy costs, inflation is expected remain higher for longer over the forecast horizon and the economic outlook remains weak, with unemployment projected to start rising.

The UK economy contracted by 0.3% between July and September 2022 according to the Office for National Statistics, and the BoE forecasts Gross Domestic Product (GDP) will decline 0.75% in the second half of the calendar year due to the squeeze on household income from higher energy costs and goods prices. Growth is then expected to continue to fall throughout 2023 and the first half of 2024.

CPI inflation is expected to have peaked at around 11% in the last calendar quarter of 2022 and then fall sharply to 1.4%, below the 2% target, in two years' time and to 0% in three years' time if Bank Rate follows the path implied by financial markets at the time of the November MPR (a peak of 5.25%). However, the BoE stated it considered this path to be too high, suggesting that the peak in interest rates will be lower, reducing the risk of inflation falling too far below target. Market rates have fallen since the time of the November MPR.

The labour market remains tight for now, with the most recent statistics showing the unemployment rate was 3.7%. Earnings were up strongly in nominal terms by 6.1% for both total pay and for regular pay but factoring in inflation means real pay for both measures was -2.7%. Looking forward, the November MPR shows the labour market weakening in response to the deteriorating outlook for growth, leading to the unemployment rate rising to around 6.5% in 2025.

Interest rates have also been rising sharply in the US, with the Federal Reserve increasing the range on its key interest rate by 0.5% in December 2022 to 4.25%-4.5%. This rise follows four successive 0.75% rises in a pace of tightening that has seen rates increase from 0.25%-0.50% in March 2022. Annual inflation has been slowing in the US but remains above 7%. GDP grew at an annualised rate of 3.2% (revised up from 2.9%) between July and September 2022, but with official interest rates

expected to rise even further in the coming months, a recession in the region is widely expected at some point during 2023.

Inflation rose consistently in the Euro Zone since the start of the year, hitting a peak annual rate of 10.6% in October 2022, before declining to 10.1% in November. Economic growth has been weakening with an upwardly revised expansion of 0.3% (from 0.2%) in the three months to September 2022. As with the UK and US, the European Central Bank has been on an interest rate tightening cycle, pushing up its three key interest rates by 0.50% in December, following two consecutive 0.75% rises, taking its main refinancing rate to 2.5% and deposit facility rate to 2.0%.

Credit outlook:

Credit default swap (CDS) prices have generally followed an upward trend throughout 2022, indicating higher credit risk. They have been boosted by the war in Ukraine, increasing economic and political uncertainty and a weaker global and UK outlook, but remain well below the levels seen at the beginning of the Covid-19 pandemic.

CDS price volatility was higher in 2022 compared to 2021 and the divergence in prices between ringfenced (retail) and non-ringfenced (investment) banking entities has emerged once again.

The weakening economic picture during 2022 led the credit rating agencies to reflect this in their assessment of the outlook for the UK sovereign as well as several local authorities and financial institutions, revising them from to negative from stable.

There are competing tensions in the banking sector which could impact bank balance sheet strength going forward. The weakening economic outlook and likely recessions in many regions increase the possibility of a deterioration in the quality of banks' assets, while higher interest rates provide a boost to net income and profitability.

However, the institutions on our adviser Arlingclose's counterparty list remain well-capitalised and their counterparty advice on both recommended institutions and maximum duration remain under constant review and will continue to reflect economic conditions and the credit outlook.

Interest rate forecast (December 2022):

The Authority's treasury management adviser Arlingclose forecasts that Bank Rate will continue to rise in 2022 and 2023 as the Bank of England attempts to subdue inflation which is significantly above its 2% target.

While interest rate expectations reduced during October and November 2022, multiple interest rate rises are still expected over the forecast horizon despite looming recession. Arlingclose expects Bank Rate to rise to 4.25% by June 2023 under its central case, with the risks in the near- and medium-term to the upside should inflation not evolve as the Bank forecasts and remains persistently higher.

Yields are expected to remain broadly at current levels over the medium-term, with 5-, 10- and 20-year gilt yields expected to average around 3.5%, 3.5%, and 3.85% respectively over the 3-year period to December 2025. The risks for short, medium and longer-term yields are judged to be broadly balanced over the forecast horizon. As ever, there will undoubtedly be short-term volatility due to economic and political uncertainty and events.

Appendix 3

Creditworthiness Policy and Investment Limits

The Council may invest its surplus funds with any of the counterparty types in table 9 below, subject to the cash limits (per counterparty) and the time limits shown. The Chief Finance Officer will exercise his delegated powers “to take any decisions (including Key Decisions) and to exercise all legal powers relevant to the Council’s borrowing, investments and financial management)” (s.7.1 of the Constitution – Officer Scheme of Delegation) to vary these limits at any time to ensure they remain viable and relevant during any market or political volatility.

Table 9: Approved investment counterparties and limits

Sector	Time limit	Counterparty limit	Sector limit
The UK Government	3 years	Unlimited	n/a
Local authorities & other government entities	3 years	£15m	Unlimited
Secured investments *	3 years	£15m	Unlimited
Banks (secured)*	3 years	£15m	Unlimited
Banks (unsecured) *	13 months	£6m	Unlimited
Building societies (unsecured) *	13 months	£6m	£18m
Registered providers (unsecured) *	3 years	£6m	£20m
Money market funds *	n/a	£15m	Unlimited
Strategic pooled funds	n/a	£10m	£20m
Real estate investment trusts	n/a	£10m	£20m
Other investments *	3 years	£6m	£15m

This table should be read in conjunction with the notes below

*** Minimum credit rating:** Treasury investments in the sectors marked with an asterisk will only be made with entities whose lowest published long-term credit rating is no lower than A-. Where available, the credit rating relevant to the specific investment or class of investment is used, otherwise the counterparty credit rating is used. However, investment decisions are never made solely based on credit ratings, and all other relevant factors including external advice will be considered.

For entities without published credit ratings, investments may be made where external advice indicates the entity to be of similar credit quality.

Government: Loans to, and bonds and bills issued or guaranteed by, national governments, regional and local authorities and multilateral development banks. These investments are not subject to bail-in, and there is generally a lower risk of insolvency, although they are not zero risk. Investments with the UK Government are deemed to be zero credit risk due to its ability to create additional currency and therefore may be made in unlimited amounts for up to 50 years.

Secured investments: Investments secured on the borrower's assets, which limits the potential losses in the event of insolvency. The amount and quality of the security will be a key factor in the investment decision. Covered bonds and reverse repurchase agreements with banks and building societies are exempt from bail-in. Where there is no investment specific credit rating, but the collateral upon which the investment is secured has a credit rating, the higher of the collateral credit rating and the counterparty credit rating will be used. The combined secured and unsecured investments with any one counterparty will not exceed the cash limit for secured investments.

Banks and building societies (unsecured): Accounts, deposits, certificates of deposit and senior unsecured bonds with banks and building societies, other than multilateral development banks. These investments are subject to the risk of credit loss via a bail-in should the regulator determine that the bank is failing or likely to fail. See below for arrangements relating to operational bank accounts.

Registered providers (unsecured): Loans to, and bonds issued or guaranteed by, registered providers of social housing or registered social landlords, formerly known as housing associations. These bodies are regulated by the Regulator of Social Housing (in England), the Scottish Housing Regulator, the Welsh Government and the Department for Communities (in Northern Ireland). As providers of public services, they retain the likelihood of receiving government support if needed.

Money market funds: Pooled funds that offer same-day or short notice liquidity and very low or no price volatility by investing in short-term money markets. They have the advantage over bank accounts of providing wide diversification of investment risks, coupled with the services of a professional fund manager in return for a small fee. Although no sector limit applies to money market funds, the Council will take care to diversify its liquid investments over a variety of providers to ensure access to cash at all times.

Strategic pooled funds: Bond, equity and property funds (such as CCLA) that offer enhanced returns over the longer term but are more volatile in the short term. These allow the Authority to diversify into asset classes other than cash without the need to own and manage the underlying investments. Because these funds have no defined maturity date, but are available for withdrawal after a notice period, their performance and continued suitability in meeting the Authority's investment objectives will be monitored regularly.

Real estate investment trusts: Shares in companies that invest mainly in real estate and pay the majority of their rental income to investors in a similar manner to pooled property funds. As with property funds, REITs offer enhanced returns over the longer term, but are more volatile especially as the share price reflects changing demand for the shares as well as changes in the value of the underlying properties.

Other investments: This category covers treasury investments not listed above, for example unsecured corporate bonds and company loans. Non-bank companies cannot be bailed-in but can become insolvent placing the Authority's investment at risk.

Risk assessment and credit ratings: Credit ratings are obtained and monitored by the Council's treasury advisers, who will notify changes in ratings as they occur. Where an entity has its credit rating downgraded so that it fails to meet the approved investment criteria then:

- no new investments will be made,
- any existing investments that can be recalled or sold at no cost will be, and

- full consideration will be given to the recall or sale of all other existing investments with the affected counterparty.

Where a credit rating agency announces that a credit rating is on review for possible downgrade (also known as “rating watch negative” or “credit watch negative”) so that it may fall below the approved rating criteria, then only new investments that can be withdrawn on the next working day will be made with that organisation until the outcome of the review is announced. This policy will not apply to negative outlooks, which indicate a long-term direction of travel rather than an imminent change of rating.

Other information on the security of investments: The Council understands that credit ratings are good, but not perfect, predictors of investment default. Full regard will therefore be given to other available information on the credit quality of the organisations in which it invests, including credit default swap prices, financial statements, information on potential government support, reports in the quality financial press and analysis and advice from the Council’s treasury management adviser. No investments will be made with an organisation if there are substantive doubts about its credit quality, even though it may otherwise meet the above criteria.

When deteriorating financial market conditions affect the creditworthiness of all organisations, as happened in 2008 and 2020, this is not generally reflected in credit ratings, but can be seen in other market measures. In these circumstances, the Council will restrict its investments to those organisations of higher credit quality and reduce the maximum duration of its investments to maintain the required level of security. The extent of these restrictions will be in line with prevailing financial market conditions. If these restrictions mean that insufficient commercial organisations of high credit quality are available to invest the Council’s cash balances, then the surplus will be deposited with the UK Government or, on an exception basis, with other local authorities. This will cause investment returns to fall but will protect the principal sum invested.

Investment limits: The Council’s revenue reserves available to cover investment losses are forecast to be £60 million on 31st March 2023. In order that no more than 50% of available reserves will be put at risk in the case of a single default, the maximum that will be lent to any one organisation (other than the UK Government) will be £15 million. A group of banks under the same ownership will be treated as a single organisation for limit purposes. Limits will also be placed on fund managers, investments in brokers’ nominee accounts, foreign countries and industry sectors as below. Investments in pooled funds and multilateral development banks do not count against the limit for any single foreign country since the risk is diversified over many countries.

Table 10: Investment limits

	Cash limit
Any single organisation, except the UK Central Government	£15m each
UK Central Government	unlimited
Any group of organisations under the same ownership	£25m per group
Any group of pooled funds under the same management	£30m per manager
Foreign countries	£30m per country
Money market funds	£60m in total